

Categorical Hubris, Humility and Atonement

Neil Ghani

and Patricia Johann, Bob Atkey and others ...

MSP group, University of Strathclyde

Structure of the Talk

- **Categorical Hubris:** What do we make of common types like
 - $\forall a. a \rightarrow a$, $\forall a. fa \rightarrow ga$ and $\forall a. (fa \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a$
 - Naturality, Dinaturality, Strong Dinaturality ...
- **Categorical Humility:** Wrong answer!!!! CS got it right ...
 - Reynold's idea was based on *logical relations*
 - Build a relational model over a standard model
- **Categorical Atonement:** What does this mean
 - Shift from a categorical universe to a fibrational universe
 - Fibrations, fibred functors and fibred natural transformations

Hubris

Categorical Hubris: Naturality, Dinaturality, Strong Dinaturality

- **Reverse:** Wadler's theorems for free for polymorphic functions

$\text{reverse} :: \forall a. \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a$

satisfying

$\text{reverse } (\text{map } f \text{ } xs) = \text{map } f \text{ } (\text{reverse } xs)$

- **Categorically:** Ah, naturality! What about types such as

$\forall a. a \rightarrow a \quad \forall a. f a \rightarrow g a \quad \forall a. (f a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a$

- **Hubris:** Can we prove properties we want?

– ... theories such as (strong) dinaturality don't work

Humility

Categorical Humility: Over to CS and System F

- **Key Idea:** Define judgements $\Gamma \vdash T : *$ by
 - Variables: $X_1, \dots, X_n \vdash X_i : *$
 - Arrow Types: If $\Gamma \vdash T, U : *$ then $\Gamma \vdash T \rightarrow U : *$
 - Forall types: If $\Gamma, X \vdash T : *$, then $\Gamma \vdash \forall X.T : *$
 - Judgements for defining terms/morphisms: $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash t : T$ where $\Gamma \vdash T : *$ and $(x_i : T_i) \in \Delta \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash T_i : *$

- **John Reynolds:** Defined logical relations by defining two semantics of the following form. Let Set be a universe of sets

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash A : * \rrbracket_0 &\in \text{Set}^{|\Gamma|} \rightarrow \text{Set} \\ \llbracket \Gamma \vdash A : * \rrbracket_1 &\in \forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \text{Set}^{|\Gamma|}. \text{Rel}^{|\Gamma|}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow \\ &\quad \text{Rel}(\llbracket \Gamma \vdash A : * \rrbracket_0 \gamma_1, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash A : * \rrbracket_0 \gamma_2) \end{aligned}$$

Core Definitions of The Logical Relation

- **Variables:** If $X_1, \dots, X_n \vdash X_i : *$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket X_1, \dots, X_n \vdash X_i : * \rrbracket_0 \gamma &= \gamma_i \\ \llbracket X_1, \dots, X_n \vdash X_i : * \rrbracket_1 r &= r_i \end{aligned}$$

- **Arrow Types:** If $\Gamma \vdash T \rightarrow U : *$, then

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash T \rightarrow U : * \rrbracket_0 \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash T : * \rrbracket_0 \gamma \rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : * \rrbracket_0 \gamma$$

$$(f, g) \in \llbracket \Gamma \vdash T \rightarrow U : * \rrbracket_1 r \text{ iff}$$

$$(a, b) \in \llbracket \Gamma \vdash T : * \rrbracket_1 r \Rightarrow (fa, gb) \in \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : * \rrbracket_1 r$$

- **Intuition:** Parametrically polymorphic functions map related inputs to related outputs.
- **Remark:** This definition makes Rel cartesian closed.

*Forall Types: If $\Gamma \vdash \forall X.T : *$, then ...*

- **Forall Types I:** $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \forall X.T : * \rrbracket_0 \gamma$ is

$$\{f : (S : Set) \rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma, X \vdash T : * \rrbracket_0(S, \gamma) \mid \\ R : \text{Rel}(A, B) \Rightarrow (fA, fB) \in \llbracket \Gamma, X \vdash T : * \rrbracket_1(R, Eq_\gamma)\}$$

— polymorphic functions satisfying a "uniformity" condition.

- **Forall Types II:** $(f, g) \in \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \forall X.T : * \rrbracket_1 r$ iff

$$R \in \text{Rel}(A, B) \Rightarrow (fA, gB) \in \llbracket \Gamma, X \vdash T : * \rrbracket_1(R, r)$$

Two polymorphic functions are related if they map "related types" to "related types"

- **Dependent Types:** In separate (non-fibrational) work, we exploit this idea to define a parametric model of dependent types.

We have a Logical Relation. So What!

- **Identity Extension Lemma:** If $\Gamma \vdash T : *$ then

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash T : * \rrbracket_1 (Eq_S) = Eq_{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash T : * \rrbracket_0 S}$$

A lemma about types - the relational semantics maps equality relations to equality relations

- **Fundamental Theorem:** First give a standard semantics to terms. If $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash t : T$, then

$$\llbracket \Gamma, \Delta \vdash t : T \rrbracket_0 : \forall \gamma : \text{Set}^{|\Gamma|}. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \Delta \rrbracket_0 \gamma \rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \vdash T \rrbracket_0 \gamma$$

and then prove that if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \text{Set}^{|\Gamma|}$, $\rho \in \text{Rel}^{|\Gamma|}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ and also if $\theta_1 \in \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \Delta \rrbracket_0 \gamma_1$ and $\theta_2 \in \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \Delta \rrbracket_0 \gamma_2$, then

$$(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \Delta \rrbracket_1 \rho \Rightarrow (\llbracket t \rrbracket_0 \gamma_1 \theta_1, \llbracket t \rrbracket_0 \gamma_2 \theta_2) \in \llbracket \Gamma \vdash T : * \rrbracket_1 \rho$$

All terms map related inputs to related outputs.

Categorical Atonement

Who is Afraid of Fibrations

- **Motivation:** A categorical abstraction of a domain of discourse and a logic over it
 - A category \mathcal{B} , called the base
 - A category \mathcal{E} , called the total category
 - A functor $p : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ mapping each logical formula to the object it is a property of
- **Parametricity:** A logical process for reasoning about programs
 - Properties are typically relatedness
 - But they could be constructive or higher order relations

A Fibrational Semantics of Types

- **Fibrations:** Define some categories

- Set is the category of small sets and functions. Rel has as objects binary relations and as morphisms, pairs of functions between the carriers of the relations preserving relatedness. $p : \text{Rel} \rightarrow \text{Set} \times \text{Set}$ maps $R : \text{Rel}(X, Y)$ to (X, Y) .

- **Semantics of Types:** If $\Gamma \vdash T : *$, and $n = |\Gamma|$, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\text{Rel}|^n & \xrightarrow{\llbracket T \rrbracket_1} & \text{Rel} \\ \downarrow |p|^n & & \downarrow p \\ |\text{Set}|^n \times |\text{Set}|^n & \xrightarrow{\llbracket T \rrbracket_0 \times \llbracket T \rrbracket_0} & \text{Set} \times \text{Set} \end{array}$$

- **Key Idea:** No action of type semantics on morphisms!!! And can generalise to all fibrations!

Identity Extension Lemma

- **Definition:** Equality defines a functor $Eq : \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Rel}$
- **Identity Extension Lemma:** Simply ...

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\text{Rel}|^n & \xrightarrow{[[T]]_1} & \text{Rel} \\ \uparrow |Eq|^n & & \uparrow Eq \\ |\text{Set}|^n & \xrightarrow{[[T]]_0} & \text{Set} \end{array}$$

- **Why Fibrations:** Equality can be defined in any fibration which is a bifibration with fibred terminal objects, ie logically has Σ -types and truth objects

$$EqX = \Sigma_{\delta_X: X \rightarrow X \times X} \text{Tr}X$$

Fundamental Theorem of Logical Relations, Fibrationally

- **Recall:** The standard interpretation of a term $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash t : T$ is a function

$$\llbracket \Gamma, \Delta \vdash t : T \rrbracket_0 : \forall \gamma : \text{Set}^{|\Gamma|}. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \Delta \rrbracket_0 \gamma \rightarrow \llbracket \Gamma \vdash T \rrbracket_0 \gamma$$

or, categorically, as a natural transformation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \Delta \rrbracket_0 & \\ |\text{Set}|^n & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \text{Set} \\ & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash T \rrbracket_0 & \end{array}$$

- **Question:** But what about the fundamental theorem ... its just a natural transformation $\llbracket t \rrbracket_1$ over $\llbracket t \rrbracket_0 \times \llbracket t \rrbracket_0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \Delta \rrbracket_1 & \\ |\text{Rel}|^n & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \text{Rel} \\ & \llbracket \Gamma \vdash T \rrbracket_1 & \end{array}$$

Conclusions

- **Related work:** A Huge literature
 - Most certainly influenced heavily by Dunphy and Reddy
 - Birkedal, Mogelberg, Simpson, Hermida etc are fibrational
 - Exciting non categorical work, eg Bernardy, Dreyer, Neil K
- **Future:** Many directions we hope to travel
 - Dependent Types, Effects and HoTT
 - Constructions on Parametric Models
 - 4-year RA position available on EPSRC grant *Logical Relations for Program Verification*